

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/929,852	08/14/2001	William H. Hildebrand	6680.025	6680.025 9815	
30589 7	7590 09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P		
PO BOX 1637 OKLAHOMA	CITY, OK 73113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/929,852	HILDEBRAND, WILLIAM H.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_		dress			
		cap and and dat				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>09 March 2004</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on <u>09 June 2004</u>, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final 						
rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CER 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certificaterion for payment of the issue fee (and	ate of Mailing or Tra nd publication fee) s	ansmission dated et in the Notice of			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		se the period for see	king court review			
7. The reason(s) below:		•				
	Daird (& Sau	nders			
	DAVID SAUND PRIMARY EXAM ART UNIT TO	ERS IINER 12 16 44				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraminimize any negative effects on patent term.						